

The error of the Interpolating Polynomial

Let $f(x)$ be a real-valued function on the interval $I = [a, b]$, and let $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ be $(n+1)$ distinct points in I . With $p_n(x)$ the polynomial of degree $\leq n$ which interpolates $f(x)$ at $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$, the interpolation error $l_n(x)$ of $p_n(x)$ is given by

$$l_n(x) = f(x) - p_n(x) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Let now $\bar{x}$ be any point different from $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$. If $p_{n+1}(x)$ is the polynomial of degree $\leq n+1$ which interpolates $f(x)$ at $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$ and at $\bar{x}$, then $p_{n+1}(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x})$, while by Newton's divided difference interpolating polynomial, we get

$$p_{n+1}(x) = p_n(x) + f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_n; \bar{x}] \prod_{j=0}^n (x - x_j)$$

It follows that

$$f(\bar{x}) = p_{n+1}(\bar{x}) = p_n(\bar{x}) + f[x_0; \dots; x_n; \bar{x}] \prod_{j=0}^n (\bar{x} - x_j)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{For all } \bar{x} \neq x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n : l_n(\bar{x}) = f[x_0; \dots; x_n; \bar{x}] \prod_{j=0}^n (\bar{x} - x_j) \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Showing ~~that~~ the error to be "like the next term" in the Newton form.

We can't evaluate the right side of (2) without knowing the number $f(\bar{x})$. But as we now prove, the number $f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_n; \bar{x}]$ is closely related to the $(n+1)$ st derivative of $f(x)$, and using this information, we can at times estimate $l_n(\bar{x})$.

Th 1. Let $f(x)$ be a real-valued function, defined on $[a, b]$ and k times differentiable in (a, b) . If $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$ are $(k+1)$ -distinct points in $[a, b]$, then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that

$$f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_k] = \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{k!} \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

P-2

of for $k=1$, this is just the MVT for derivatives. For the general case, observe that the error function $e_k(x) = f(x) - p_k(x)$ has (at least) the $k+1$ distinct zeros $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$ in $I = [a, b]$. Hence, if $f(x)$, and therefore $e_k(x)$ is k -times differentiable on (a, b) , then it follows from Rolle's theorem that $e'_k(x)$ has at least k zeros in (a, b) ; hence $e''_k(x)$ has at least $(k-1)$ zeros in (a, b) , and continuing in this manner, we finally get that $e_k^{(k)}(x)$ has at least one zero in (a, b) . Let ξ be one such ~~zero~~ zero. Then

$$0 = e_k^{(k)}(\xi) = f^{(k)}(\xi) - p_k^{(k)}(\xi).$$

On the other hand, we know that, for any x

$$p_k^{(k)}(x) = f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_k] \cdot L^k$$

since, by defⁿ, $f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_k]$ is the leading coefficient of $p_k(x)$.

$$\therefore p_k^{(k)}(\xi) = f^{(k)}(\xi) \text{ gives}$$

$$f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_k] \cdot L^k = f^{(k)}(\xi)$$

$$\therefore f[x_0; x_1; \dots; x_k] = \frac{f^{(k)}(\xi)}{L^k}, \quad \xi \in (a, b)$$

— x —

Now using (2) & (3) we get

$$\ln(x) = \frac{f^{(k+1)}(\xi)}{L^{k+1}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^n (x - x_j) \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

Since $\ln(x_0) = \ln(x_1) = \dots = \ln(x_n) = 0$, dropping the bar in (4), we get in general

$$\ln(x) = \frac{f^{(k+1)}(\xi)}{L^{k+1}} \cdot \prod_{j=0}^n (x - x_j) \quad \text{--- (5)}$$